	b 1:04 00470 KEG DAM	D	Ellad 07/07/05	Danie 1 of 0
	Case 1:24-cv-00472-KES-BAM [Jocument 25	Filed 07/07/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	CHI THANH NGO,	Case	e No. 1:24-cv-0047	72-KES-BAM
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART STIPULATION AND JOINT REQUEST FOR AN ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL AND TRIAL RELATED DATES	
13	v.	JOI		
14	MANUEL ROMERO, et al.,			
15	Defendants.	(Do	c. 24)	
16				
17	On June 30, 2025, the parties filed a stipulation and joint request to modify the Scheduling			
18	Conference Order in this case. (Doc. 24.). The parties explain that, due to the trial schedules of			
19	various counsel in this matter, they are unable to effectively schedule the depositions of the			
20	named individual parties prior to the non-expert discovery deadline of August 1, 2025. The			
21	parties also agree that mediation and/or a settlement conference would be beneficial in this			
22	matter, but only after completion of the depositions of the named individual parties. The parties			
23	therefore request modification of all remaining pretrial and trial deadlines. (Id.) For the reasons			
24	set forth below, the joint request will be granted in part and denied in part.			
25	The parties are reminded that the Scheduling Conference Order dates were selected in			

The parties are reminded that the Scheduling Conference Order dates were selected in coordination with all counsel's schedules and commitments. Ongoing scheduling conflicts with other cases is not a basis for continuance of the Scheduling Order, and counsel must have considered such commitments when selecting dates in this matter. This case was scheduled on

Case 1:24-cv-00472-KES-BAM Document 25 Filed 07/07/25 Page 2 of 2

August 2, 2024, and the parties do not provide any information that conflicting cases predated this Court's Scheduling Conference Order. Further, settlement discussions are not good cause for a continuance. District Judge Sherriff does not permit continuance of trial dates mutually selected with the Court. Nonetheless, the Court sees a brief continuance of pretrial matters may marginally be warranted. Accordingly, the Scheduling Conference Order will be modified, such that the non-expert discovery deadline will be continued solely for the purpose of completing depositions of the named individual parties. The remaining pretrial deadlines will be modified accordingly, except for the trial date, which will remain as scheduled for September 22, 2026.

Based on the foregoing, the Scheduling Conference Order is HEREBY MODIFIED as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

CURRENT DEADLINE CONTINUED DEADLINE Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff (as August 1, 2025 November 3, 2025 to the depositions of the named individual parties ONLY) **Expert Disclosure** November 17, 2025 August 22, 2025 Supplemental Expert Disclosure September 19, 2025 December 15, 2025 **Expert Discovery Cutoff** October 31, 2025 January 26, 2026 January 23, 2026 February 13, 2026 Pretrial Motion Filing Deadline July 13, 2026, at 1:30 p.m. in Pretrial Conference June 22, 2026 Courtroom 6 (KES) September 22, 2026, at 9:00 Trial September 22, 2026 AM in Courtroom 6 (KES)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

2223

20

21

Dated: **July 7, 2025**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25

24

26

27

28